

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO BO 1456 Alexandra, Vagans 22/13/1450 www.usple.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/927,863	08/10/2001	Hanna A. Bamnolker	10200/101	7231	
7590 05.08/2003			8		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60			UMEZ ERONINI. LYNETTE T		
			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1765		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appliantian	No.	Applicant(s)	Apr 8
		Application	INO.		/
Office Action Summary		09/927,863		BAMNOLKER ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Lynette T. l		1765	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period rere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, by within the statutor will apply and will encause the applica	however, may a reply be tirry minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered tim the mailing date of this (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is no	on-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except f r <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	or formal matters, p oyle, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to t 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is
· ·	ion of Claims				
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-29 is/are withdra	wn from consi	deration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 and 30 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ tion Papers	or election req	uirement.		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		bjected to by the Exa	aminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ app	oroved b)□ disappr	oved by the Exam	iner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	reply to this Offic	ce action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been	received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been	received in Applica	tion No	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).		al Stage
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:				nal application).
	 a) The translation of the foreign language p	orovisional app	olication has been re	eceived.	
Attachme			- ,		
1) . Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statèment(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			ary (PTO-413) Paper Il Patent Application (
U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office Ony 04 01) Office	Action Summary	·	Part of Paper No	o. 8

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/927,863

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of April 21, 2003 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the office has failed to explain how this other method is materially different from the claimed process, nor has the office provided sufficient detail about this other process for one to determine if it is materially different. This is not found persuasive because claims 1-23 and 30 are drawn to making a semiconductor device by dry etching and are classified in class 438, subclass 706. Claims 24-29 are drawn to a silicon wafer and are classified in class 438, subclass 400. The product (a semiconductor wafer) as claimed is made using dry etching. However, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as one that does not requires dry etching.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 18 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot dependent from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.
- 3. Claim 3 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 3, "the nitride end point" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1765

In claim 19, "CF₃" is incorrectly written. Appropriate correction is required. For the purpose of examination, CHF₃ would be searched.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (US 5,994,229).

Chen teaches, "Referring now to FIG. 2, the process starts at 21 with . . . silicon body, or substrate, 2 on which is formed layer of pad oxide . . . A layer of silicon nitride 4 . . . is then laid down (see 22)," (column 3, lines 54-58). "Continuing reference to FIG. 2, the first of several subprocesses is now used to etch away silicon nitride (see 24):" (column 2, line 65-67). "Once the first subprocess has been terminated, the second subprocess (see 26), which involves overetching the silicon nitride and etching through the pad oxide . . ." (column 3, lines 21-23). "The . . . first subprocess further comprises: . . methane trifluoride (a fluorinated hydrocarbon) . . ., carbon tetrafluoride . . , argon (inert gas) . . . , and oxygen . . . (claim 2). "The . . . second subprocess further comprises: . . methane trifluoride, . . . a fluorinated hydrocarbon, . . . and argon (claim 3). The aforementioned reads on,

Art Unit: 1765

A method of forming a semiconductor structure, comprising:

etching through a nitride layer;

etching through an oxide layer; and

etching a semiconductor substrate; wherein:

a last portion of the nitride layer is etched with a nitride etching chemistry comprising a fluorinated hydrocarbon, oxygen, and an inert gas selected from the group consisting of neon, argon, krypton, xenon, and combinations thereof;

a last portion of the oxide layer is etched with an oxide etching chemistry that is different from the nitride etching chemistry; and

the nitride layer is on the oxide layer, and the oxide layer is on the semiconductor substrate, as in the present claims 1 and 30;

wherein the fluorinated hydrocarbon is selected from the group consisting of CF_4 , CH_3F , and combinations thereof, as in the present claim 4;

wherein the oxide etching chemistry comprises a fluorinated hydrocarbon is selected from the group consisting of CHF₃, as in the present claim 5.

the semiconductor substrate comprises silicon, and wherein the etching of the semiconductor substrate is achieved with a silicon etching chemistry comprising a reagent selected from the group consisting of a halogen gas, a hydrogen halide, oxygen and combinations thereof, **as in the present claim 6**;

the silicon etching chemistry comprises Cl_2 , HBr, and O_2 , as in the present claim 9;

Art Unit: 1765

wherein the nitride etching chemistry comprises CF_4 , CHF_3 , Ar, and O_2 , **as in the** present claim 10.

Since Chen uses the same etchant in etching and over etching the same (nitride) layer as that of the claimed invention, then using Chen's etchant and etching method would inherently overetch the nitride layer using the nitride etching chemistry by up to and including ten percent of the nitride end point, as in the present claim 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kim et al. (US 6,214,637 B1).

Chen differs in failing to teach an antireflective coating is on the nitride layer and wherein the method further comprises etching the antireflective coating using the nitride etching chemistry.

Kim teaches, layers that are stacked on a semiconductor wafer and that are comprised of a silicon substrate 100 and an ARC layer 106 that overlies a highly reflective layer 104, (column 4, lines 28-41 and FIG. 4). "When the highly reflective layer 104 is a SiN layer, it is possible to simultaneously remove the ARC 106 and the highly reflective layer 104 by . . . oxygen, . . . argon, . . . and . . . CHF₃ . . . (column 5,

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/927,863

Art Unit: 1765

line 66 - column 6, line 6). The aforementioned reads on, an antireflective coating is on the nitride layer and wherein the method further comprises etching the antireflective coating using the nitride etching chemistry.

It is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify Chen by using an antireflective coating that is on a nitride layer and etching the antireflective coating using the nitride etching chemistry as taught by Kim for the purpose of providing a method for forming a photoresist pattern, in which the anti-reflective coating (ARC) has excellent etching selectivity, is economical to produce, and is easily removed once the photoresist pattern has been form (column 2, lines 48-52).

8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen ('229) in view of as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Huang (US 6,225,187 B1).

Chen differs in failing to explicitly teach the oxide etching chemistry comprises CF₄.

Huang teaches, "... (e) etching the exposed hard mask and the underlying oxide layer..." (column 1, lines 64 - column 2, line 4). "The oxide layer consists of a silicon dioxide layer. The hard mask can be nitride selected from the group consists of silicon nitride or silicon oxynitride. The etching process applied in step (e) is dry-etching, wherein the etchant is composed of a mixture of CHF₃/CF₄/O₂/Ar..." (column 2, lines

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/927,863

Art Unit: 1765

6-12). The aforementioned reads on, the oxide etching chemistry comprises CF₄ along with CHF₃.

It is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify Chen by using Huang's method of etching an oxide layer comprising CF₄ and CHF₃ for the purpose etching a trench in two steps (Huang, column 2, lines 34-36) as compared to three steps as in the present invention.

9. Claims 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen ('229) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bhardwaj et al (US 6,051,503).

Chen differs in failing to specify the flow rate ratio of CF₄ to CHF₃ as recited in claims 8, 11 and 15; the ratio of pressure:top power:bias of the nitride etching chemistry as recited in claims 13 and 14; ratio, and the nitride etching chemistry is introduced with a bias of at least –50 V, in claim 12.

Bhardwaj teaches. "one or more of the following parameters: gas flow rates, chamber pressure, plasma power, substrate bias, etch rate, deposition rate, cycle time and etching/deposition ratio vary with time" (Abstract), which provides evidence that variations in the gas flow rate, pressure, and plasma power and bias are so-called "result effective variable"

It is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify Chen by using

Art Unit: 1765

Bhardwaj as evidence that variations in the gas flow rate, pressure, plasma power and bias are so-called "result effective variables" since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effect variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 206 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

10. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen ('229) in view of as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kosugi et al. (US 5,723,383).

Chen differs in failing to teach cleaning the semiconductor substrate with a silicon cleaning chemistry comprising a fluorinated hydrocarbon and an inert gas selected from the group consisting of neon, argon, krypton, xenon, and combinations thereof, as in claim 16 and cleaning the semiconductor substrate using a silicon cleaning chemistry comprising CF₄ and argon, as in claim 17.

Kosugi teaches, "It is also possible to obtain cleaned substrate surfaces by dry etching using plasma formed by various reaction gases such as Ar, H_2 , CF_4 ," (column 10, lines 45-48).

It is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify Chen by using Kosugi's method and gases to clean a semiconductor substrate for the purpose of removing unwanted etch residues from the semiconductor substrate.

Art Unit: 1765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. Claim 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen ('229).

Chen teaches, "Referring now to FIG. 2, the process starts at 21 with . . . silicon body, or substrate, 2 on which is formed layer of pad oxide . . . A layer of silicon nitride 4 . . . is then laid down (see 22)," (column 3, lines 54-58). "Continuing reference to FIG. 2, the first of several subprocesses is now used to etch away silicon nitride (see 24):" (column 2, line 65-67). "Once the first subprocess has been terminated, the second subprocess (see 26), which involves overetching the silicon nitride and etching through the pad oxide . . ." (column 3, lines 21-23). "The . . . first subprocess further comprises: . . methane trifluoride (a fluorinated hydrocarbon) . . . , carbon tetrafluoride . . . , argon (inert gas) . . . , and oxygen . . . (claim 2). "The . . . second subprocess further comprises: . . methane trifluoride, . . . a fluorinated hydrocarbon, . . . and argon . . . (claim 3). The aforementioned reads on,

A method of forming a semiconductor structure comprising:

etching through a nitride layer;

etching through an oxide layer; and

etching a semiconductor substrate; wherein:

a last portion of the nitride layer is etched with a nitride etching chemistry comprising CF₄, CHF₃, Ar, and O₂;

a last portion of the oxide layer is etched with an oxide etching chemistry comprising CF₄ and CHF₃;

Art Unit: 1765

the semiconductor substrate is etched with a silicon etching chemistry Cl₂, HBr,

Page 10

and O₂, as in the present claim 19.

Since Chen's method of forming a semiconductor structure is the same as that of

forming shallow trenches, which are widely used in integrated circuit technology as a

means for electrically isolating different parts of the circuit from each other, then using

Chen's method of forming a semiconductor structure would inherently result in making a

semiconductor structure, and forming a semiconductor device from the structure, as in

the present claims 20 and 22; and forming an electronic device, which comprises the

semiconductor device as in claims 21 and 23.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lynette T. Umez-Eronini whose telephone number is

703-306-9074. The examiner is normally unavailable on the First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on 703-308-3836. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310

for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

ltue

May 2, 2003

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER